This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

- 1 Claim 1 (currently amended): For use with a node, a
- 2 method comprising:
- 3 a) accepting, using the node, status information
- 4 from at least two different kinds of routing
- 5 protocols;
- 6 b) composing, using the node, an aggregate message
- 7 including at least two indicators, each indicator
- 8 identifying a different one of the at least two
- 9 different kinds of routing protocols and the
- 10 corresponding status information from each of the at
- least two different kinds of routing protocols as
- 12 data within the aggregated message; and
- 13 c) sending, using the node, the aggregated message
- 14 towards a neighbor node.
- 1 Claim 2 (previously presented): The method of claim 1
- 2 further comprising:
- 3 d) maintaining, using the node, a first timer for
- 4 tracking a send time interval, wherein the acts of
- 5 composing the aggregated message and sendin; the
- 6 aggregated message are performed after expiration of
- 7 the first timer; and
- 8 e) restarting, using the node, the first timer
- 9 after the aggregated message is sent.
- 1 Claim 3 (previously presented): The method of claim 2
- 2 wherein the aggregated message further includes a dead
- 3 time interval, and wherein the send time interval is less
- 4 than the dead time interval.

- 1 Claim 4 (previously presented): The method of chaim 2
- 2 wherein the aggregated message further includes a dead
- 3 time interval, and wherein the send time interval is no
- 4 more than one third of the dead time interval.
- 1 Claim 5 (original): The method of claim 2 where n the
- 2 send time interval is less than one second.
- 1 Claim 6 (original): The method of claim 2 where n the
- 2 send time interval is less than 100 msec.
- 1 Claim 7 (previously presented): The method of claim 1
- 2 wherein the aggregated message further includes a dead
- 3 time interval.
- 1 Claim 8 (previously presented): The method of claim 1
- 2 wherein the act of sending the aggregated messag;
- 3 includes providing the aggregated message in an Internet
- 4 protocol packet.
- 1 Claim 9 (previously presented): The method of claim 8
- 2 wherein the act of sending the aggregated messag: towards
- 3 the neighbor node includes setting a destination address
- 4 in the Internet protocol packet to a multicast aldress.
- 5 associated with routers that support aggregated protocol
- 6 liveness.
- 1 Claim 10 (currently amended): The method of claim 1
- 2 wherein the neighbor node has at least one routing
- 3 protocol peering with at least one of the at least two
- 4 routing protocols.

```
1
     Claim 11 (currently amended): The method of cla.m 1
 2
     wherein the status information includes a routing
     protocol state selected from a group of routing protocols
 3
     states consisting of (A) protocol up, (B) protocol down,
 5
     (C) protocol not reporting, and (D) protocol restarting.
     Claim 12 (currently amended): For use with a node, a
 1
 2
     method comprising:
              receiving, using the node, an aggregated message
 3
          a)
 4
          including
  5
               i) for a first set of at least two different
               kinds of routing protocols of a neighbor node,
 6
               at least two indicators, each indicato:
  7
  8
               identifying a different one of the at .east two
               different kinds of routing protocols and
  9
               corresponding status information for each of
 10
               the protocols of the first set of the at least
- 11
               two different kinds of routing protoco s as
 12
               data within the aggregated message, and
 13
 14
                    a time interval; and
               ii)
 15
              updating, using the node, neighbor node protocol
 16
          status information using the aggregated mes; age.
     Claim 13 (currently amended): The method of cla.m 12
  1
     wherein the act of updating neighbor node protocol status
  2
     information includes
  3
               i) setting, using the node, a first timer to
  4
               the time interval and starting the first timer,
  5
               ii) if the first timer expires, setting, using
  6
               the node, the status of each of the protocols
  7
  8
               of the neighbor node to down, and
```

9	iii) if a further message, sourced from the
10	neighbor node, and including
11	A) for a second set of at least :wo
12	protocols, at least two indicator; each
13	indicator identifying the at leas: two
14	routing protocols and corresponding status
15	information for each of the routing
16	protocols of the second set, and
17	B) a new time interval,
18	is received then, resetting, using the node,
19	the first timer to the new time interval and
20	restarting the first timer.
1	Claim 14 (original): The method of claim 13 wherein each
2	of the time interval and the new time interval i; less
3	than one second.
1	Claim 15 (currently amended): The method of cla.m 12
2	wherein the status information includes a routing
3	protocol state selected from a group of routing protocols
4	states consisting of (A) protocol up, (B) protocol down,
5	(C) protocol not reporting, and (D) protocol restarting.
1	Claim 16 (currently amended): The method of cla.m 13
2	wherein the act of updating neighbor node routing
3	protocol status information further includes
4	iv) if the further message is received then,
5	in addition to resetting the first timer to the
6	new time interval and restarting the forst
7	timer, further
8	A) determining, using the node, whether
9	the first set of at least two routing

10	protocols is the same as the second set of
11	at least two <u>routing</u> protocols,
12	B) if the first set of at least :wo
13	<pre>routing protocols is determined to be the</pre>
14	same as the second set of at leas: <pre>routing</pre>
15	two protocols, then for each of the at
16	least two <pre>routing</pre> protocols of bo:h the
17	first and second sets having a changed
18	status, informing, using the node a
19	locally running instance of the <u>routing</u>
20	protocol of the changed status of its peer
21	routing protocol of the neighbor node, and
22	C) if the first set of at least :wo
23	<pre>routing protocols is determined t&gt; be</pre>
24	different from the second set of at least
25	two <u>routing</u> protocols, then
26	<ol> <li>for any <u>routing</u> protocol in the</li> </ol>
27	second set but not in the first set,
28	informing, using the node, a locally
29	running instance of the rout ng
30	protocol of the status indicated in
31	the further message of its peer
32	routing protocol of the neighbor
33	node, and
34	2) for any <u>routing</u> protocol in the
35	first set but not in the second set,
36	informing, using the node, a locally
37	running instance of the rout ng
38	protocol that the status ofts peer
39	routing protocol of the neighbor node
40	is down.

1	Claim 17 (currently amended): The method of claim 16,
2	wherein each of the aggregated message and the further
3	message include an indication of a relative message age,
4	and wherein the act of updating neighbor node routing
5	protocol status information includes,
6	iv) if the further message is received then,
7	in addition to resetting the first timer to the
8	new time interval and restarting the first
9	timer, further
10	A) determining, using the node, whether
11	the further message is younger than the
12	aggregated message, and
13	B) if it is determined that the further
14	message is not younger than the aggregated
15	message, then discarding, using the node,
16	the further message.
1	Claim 18 (currently amended): The method of claim 13
2	wherein each of the aggregated message and the further
3	message include an indication of a relative message age,
4	and wherein the act of updating neighbor node rcuting
5	protocol status information includes,
6	<pre>iv) if the further message is received then,</pre>
7	in addition to resetting the first timer to the
8	new time interval and restarting the first
9	timer, further
10	A) determining, using the node, whether
11	the further message is younger than the
12	aggregated message, and
13	B) if it is determined that the further
14	message is not younger than the aggregated

15	message, then discarding, using the node,
16	the further message.
1	Claim 19 (currently amended): A method for moni:oring
2	liveness of multiple protocols, the method compr.sing:
3	a) determining, at a first node, status information
4	for at least two different kinds of routing
5	protocols;
6	b) sending, from the first node, an aggregated
7	message including at least two indicators, each
8	indicator identifying a different one of the at
9	least two different kinds of routing protocols and
10	the corresponding determined status information for
11	the at least two different kinds of routing
12	protocols as data within the aggregated message to a
13	second node;
14	c) receiving, at the second node, the aggregated
15	message; and
16	d) updating, by the second node, first nod > routing
17	protocol status information using the aggrejated
18	message.
1	Claim 20 (currently amended): The method of claim 19
2	wherein the aggregated message further includes a first
3	time interval, and wherein the act of updating neighbor
4	node routing protocol status information includes
5	i) setting a timer to the first time interval;
6	<pre>ii) starting the timer;</pre>
7	iii) determining whether or not a fur:her
8	message including routing protocol status
9	information is received from the first node by

```
10
              the second node before the expiration of the
11
              timer; and
12
                   if it is determined that a further message
13
              including routing protocol status information
14
              is not received from the first node by the
15
              second node before the expiration of the timer,
16
              then informing peer routing protocols of the
17
              second node that the at least two routing
18
              protocols of the first node are down.
```

- 1 Claim 21 (currently amended): The method of cla.m 19
- 2 wherein the status information includes a routing
- 3 protocol state selected from a group of protocol: states
- 4 including at least (A) protocol up, (B) protocol down,
- 5 (C) protocol not reporting, and (D) protocol restarting.
- Claim 22 (currently amended): A machine-readable medium
- 2 having stored thereon a machine readable aggregated
- 3 message comprising:
- 4 a) at least two indicators, each indicator
- 5 identifying a different one of at least two
- 6 different kinds of routing protocols of a node
- 7 stored as data within the aggregated message;
- 8 b) status information, for the at least two
- 9 different kinds of routing protocols of [[a ] the
- node, of a state of each of the at least two routing
- 11 protocols stored as data within the aggregated
- 12 message; and
- 13 c [[\*]]) a dead interval.
- 1 Claim 23 (currently amended): The machine-readable
- 2 medium of claim 22 wherein the status information:

- 3 indicates a <u>routing</u> protocol state selected from a group
- 4 of protocols states consisting of (A) protocol up, (B)
- 5 protocol down, (C) protocol not reporting, and ()
- 6 protocol restarting.
- 1 Claim 24 (original): The machine-readable medium of
- 2 claim 22 further comprising:
- 3 c) an identifier of the node.
- 1 Claim 25 (original): The machine-readable medium of
- 2 claim 24 wherein the node is a router and wherein the
- 3 identifier is a router identifier.
- 1 Claim 26 (original): The machine-readable medium of
- 2 claim 22 further comprising:
- 3 c) an interface index.
- 1 Claim 27 (currently amended): For use with a node,
- 2 apparatus comprising:
- 3 a) at least one processor;
- 4 b) at least one input device; and
- 5 c) at least one storage device storing
- 6 processor-executable instructions which, when
- 7 executed by one or more processors, perform a method
- 8 including
- 9 i) accepting status information from at least
- 10 two different kinds of routing protocols,
- ii) composing, using the node, an aggregated
- message including at least two indicators, each
- indicator identifying a different one of the at
- least two different kinds of routing protocols
- and the corresponding status information from

- 16 <u>each of</u> the at least two different <u>kinds of</u>
  17 <u>routing</u> protocols as data within the aggregated
  18 message, and
  19 iii) sending the aggregated message towards a
  20 neighbor node.
  - 1 Claim 28 (previously presented): The apparatus of claim
- 2 27 wherein the method further includes
- 3 iv) maintaining a first timer for tracking a send
- 4 time interval, wherein the act of composing the
- 5 aggregated message and sending the aggregated
- 6 message compose and send the aggregated message
- 7 after expiration of the first timer, and
- 8 v) restarting the first timer after the aggregated
- 9 message is sent.
- 1 Claim 29 (previously presented): The apparatus of claim
- 2 28 wherein the aggregated message further includes a dead
- 3 time interval, and wherein the send time interval is less
- 4 than the dead time interval.
- 1 Claim 30 (previously presented): The apparatus of claim
- 2 28 wherein the aggregated message further includes a dead
- 3 time interval, and wherein the send time interval is no
- 4 more than one third of the dead time interval.
- 1 Claim 31 (previously presented): The apparatus of claim
- 2 28 wherein the send time interval is less than one
- 3 second.
- 1 Claim 32 (previously presented): The apparatus of claim
- 2 28 wherein the send time interval is less than 1)0 msec.

- 1 Claim 33 (previously presented): The apparatus of claim
- 2 27 wherein the aggregated message further includes a dead
- 3 time interval.
- 1 Claim 34 (previously presented): The apparatus of claim
- 2 27 wherein the act of sending the aggregated message
- 3 includes providing the aggregated message in an Internet
- 4 protocol packet.
- 1 Claim 35 (currently amended): The apparatus of claim 34
- 2 wherein the act of sending the aggregated message
- 3 includes setting a destination address in the Internet
- 4 protocol packet to a multicast address associated with
- 5 routers that support aggregated routing protocol
- 6 liveness.
- 1 Claim 36 (previously presented): The apparatus of claim
- 2 27 wherein the neighbor node has at least one protocol
- 3 peering with at least one of the at least two protocols.
- 1 Claim 37 (currently amended): The apparatus of claim 27
- 2 wherein the status information includes a routing
- 3 protocol state selected from a group of protocol; states
- 4 consisting of (A) protocol up, (B) protocol down (C)
- 5 protocol not reporting, and (D) protocol restarting.
- 1 Claim 38 (currently amended): For use with a node,
- 2 apparatus comprising:
- 3 a) at least one processor;
- 4 b) at least one input device; and
- 5 c) at least one storage device storing
- 6 processor-executable instructions which, when

,	executed by one or more processors, perform a method
8	including
9	i) receiving, using the at least one input, an
10	aggregated message including
11	A) for a first set of at least two
12	different kinds of routing protocols of a
13	neighbor node, at least two indicators each
14	indicator identifying a different one of the at
15	least two different kinds of routing p:otocols
16	and corresponding status information for each
17	of the protocols of the first set of the at
18	least two different kinds of routing protocols
19	as data within the aggregated message, and
20	B) a time interval, and
21	ii) updating neighbor node protocol status
22	information using the aggregated message.
1	Claim 39 (currently amended): The apparatus of claim 38
2	wherein the act of updating neighbor node protocol status
3	information includes
4	A) setting a first timer to the timenterval
5	and starting the first timer,
6	B) setting the status of each of the <u>couting</u>
7	protocols of the neighbor node to down if the
8	first timer expires, and
9	C) if a further message, sourced from the
10	neighbor node, and including
11	<ol> <li>for a second set of at least two</li> </ol>
12	protocols, at least two indicators, each
13	indicator identifying the at least two
4	routing protocols and corresponding status

15	information for each of the routing
16	protocols of the second set, and
17	<ol><li>a new time interval,</li></ol>
18	is received, resetting the first timer to the
19	new time interval and restarting the forst
20	timer.

- 1 Claim 40 (previously presented): The apparatus of claim
- 2 39 wherein each of the time interval and the new time
- 3 interval is less than one second.
- 1 Claim 41 (currently amended): The apparatus of claim 38
- 2 wherein the status information includes a routing
- 3 protocol state selected from a group of protocols states
- 4 consisting of (A) protocol up, (B) protocol down, (C)
- 5 protocol not reporting, and (D) protocol restarting.
- 1 Claim 42 (currently amended): The apparatus of claim 39
- 2 wherein the act of updating neighbor node <u>routing</u>
- 3 protocol status information further includes
- D) determining whether the first set of at least two <u>routing</u> protocols is the same as the second set of at least two protocols,
- 7
- 7 E) if the first set of at least two <u>routing</u>
- 8 protocols is determined to be the same as the
- 9 second set of at least two <u>routing</u> prctocols,
- 10 then for each of the at least two routing
- protocols of both the first and second sets
- having a changed status, informing a locally
- 13 running instance of the routing protocol of
- 14 the changed status of its peer routing
- 15 protocol of the neighbor node, and

16	F) if the first set of at least two <u>routing</u>
17	protocols is determined to be different from
18	the second set of at least two routing
19	protocols,
20	<ol> <li>for any <u>routing</u> protocol in the second</li> </ol>
21	set but not in the first set, informing a
22	locally running instance of the $r$ outing
23	protocol of the status indicated in the
24	further message of its peer routing
25	protocol of the neighbor node, and
26	2) for any <u>routing</u> protocol in the first
27	set but not in the second set, informing a
28	locally running instance of the routing
29	protocol that the status of its peer
30	routing protocol of the neighbor node is
31	down.
1	Claim 43 (currently amended): The apparatus of claim 42
2	wherein each of the aggregated message and the further
3	message include an indication of a relative message age,
4	and wherein the act of updating neighbor node routing
5	protocol status information includes,
6	D) determining whether the further message is
7	younger than the aggregated message, and
8	E) if it is determined that the further
9	message is not younger than the aggregated
10	message, then discarding the further message.
11	

- 1 Claim 44 (currently amended): The apparatus of claim 39
- 2 wherein each of the aggregated message and the further
- 3 message include an indication of a relative message age,

4	and wherein the act of updating neighbor node routing
5	protocol status information includes,
6	D) determining whether the further $\pi$ essage is
7	younger than the aggregated message, and
8	E) if it is determined that the further
9	message is not younger than the aggregated
10	message, then discarding the further message.
1	Claim 45 (currently amended): A system comprising:
2	a) a first node adapted to
3	i) determine status information for at least
4	two different kinds of routing protocols, and
5	<pre>ii) send an aggregated message including at</pre>
6	least two indicators, each indicator
7	identifying a different one of the at least two
8	different kinds of routing protocols and the
9	corresponding determined status information for
10	the at least two different kinds of routing
11	protocols as data within the aggregated message
12	to a second node; and
13	b) the second node adapted to
14	i) receive the aggregated message; and
15	ii) update first node routing protocol status
16	information using the aggregated message.
1	Claim 46 (currently amended): The system of claim 45
2	wherein the aggregated message further includes a first
3	time interval, and wherein the act of updating the first
4	node routing protocol status information includes
5	A) setting a timer to the first time
6	interval;
7	B) starting the timer;

8	C) determining whether or not a further
9	message including <a href="routing">routing</a> protoccl status
10	information is received from the first
11	node by the second node before the
12	expiration of the timer; and
13	D) if it is determined that a further
14	message including <a href="routing">routing</a> protoccl status
15	information is not received from the first
16	node by the second node before the
17	expiration of the timer, then informing
18	peer <u>routing</u> protocols of the second node
19	that the at least two <pre>routing</pre> protocols of
20	the first node are down.

- 1 Claim 47 (currently amended): The system of claim 46
- 2 wherein the status information includes a routing
- 3 protocol state selected from a group of protocols states
- 4 including at least (A) protocol up, (B) protocol down,
- 5 (C) protocol not reporting, and (D) protocol restarting.
- 1 Claim 48 (currently amended): The method of claim 1
- 2 wherein the status information is local routing protocol
- 3 status information.
- 1 Claim 49 (currently amended): The method of claim 1
- 2 wherein the status information is local status
- 3 information and wherein each of the at least two
- 4 different kinds of routing protocols is [[bring]] being
- 5 run locally on the node.
- 1 Claim 50 (currently amended): The method of claim 1
- 2 wherein the status information of at least one cf the at

- 3 least two different kinds of routing protocols included
- 4 in the aggregated message includes a routing protocol
- 5 state set to protocol not reporting.
- 1 Claim 51 (currently amended): The method of claim 1
- 2 wherein the status information of at least one of the at
- 3 least two different kinds of routing protocols included
- 4 in the aggregated message includes a routing protocol
- 5 state set to protocol restarting.
- 1 Claim 52 (currently amended): The method of claim 12
- 2 wherein the status information of at least one of the at
- 3 least two different kinds of routing protocols included
- 4 in the first set of at least two different kinds of
- 5 routing protocols included within the aggregated message
- 6 includes a routing protocol state set to protocol not
- 7 reporting.
- 1 Claim 53 (currently amended): The method of claim 12
- 2 wherein the status information of at least one of the at
- 3 least two different kinds of routing protocols included
- 4 in the first set of at least two different kinds of
- 5 routing protocols included within the aggregated message
- 6 includes a routing protocol state set to protocol
- 7 restarting.
- 1 Claim 54 (new): The method of claim 1 wherein a first
- 2 one of the at least two indicators identifies a first
- 3 kind of routing protocol from a group of routing
- 4 protocols consisting of (A) Border Gateway Protocol
- 5 (BGP), (B) Intermediate system to intermediate system
- 6 (IS-IS), (C) Open Shortest Path First Version 2 (OSPF

- 7 v2), (D) Open Shortest Path First -Version 3 (OS'F v3),
- 8 (E) Routing Information Protocol Version 1/Version 2 (RIP
- 9 v1/v2), (F) Routing Information Protocol next generation
- 10 (RIP-ng), (G) Protocol-Independent Multicast (PIM), (H)
- 11 Distance Vector Multicast Routing Protocol (DVM RP), (I)
- 12 Label Distribution Protocol (LDP), (J) Resource
- 13 Reservation Protocol (RSVP) and (K) Link Management
- 14 Protocol (LMP), and
- 15 wherein a second one of the at least two indicators
- 16 identifies a second kind of routing protocol, which is
- 17 different from the first kind of routing protocol
- 18 identified, from a group of routing protocols consisting
- 19 of (A) Border Gateway Protocol (BGP), (B) Intermediate
- 20 system to intermediate system (IS-IS), (C) Open 3hortest
- 21 Path First Version 2 (OSPF v2), (D) Open Shortest Path
- 22 First -Version 3 (OSPF v3), (E) Routing Information
- 23 Protocol Version 1/Version 2 (RIP v1/v2), (F) Routing
- 24 Information Protocol next generation (RIP-ng), (3)
- 25 Protocol-Independent Multicast (PIM), (H) Distance Vector
- 26 Multicast Routing Protocol (DVMRP), (I) Label
- 27 Distribution Protocol (LDP), (J) Resource Reservation
- 28 Protocol (RSVP) and (K) Link Management Protocol (LMP).
- 1 Claim 55 (new): The method of claim 12 wherein a first
- 2 one of the at least two indicators identifies a first
- 3 kind of routing protocol from a group of routing
- 4 protocols consisting of (A) Border Gateway Protocol
- 5 (BGP), (B) Intermediate system to intermediate system
- 6 (IS-IS), (C) Open Shortest Path First Version 2 (OSPF
- 7 v2), (D) Open Shortest Path First -Version 3 (OS?F v3),
- 8 (E) Routing Information Protocol Version 1/Version 2 (RIP
- 9 v1/v2), (F) Routing Information Protocol next generation

- 10 (RIP-ng), (G) Protocol-Independent Multicast (PI4), (H)
- 11 Distance Vector Multicast Routing Protocol (DVMRP), (I)
- 12 Label Distribution Protocol (LDP), (J) Resource
- 13 Reservation Protocol (RSVP) and (K) Link Management
- 14 Protocol (LMP), and
- wherein a second one of the at least two inlicators
- 16 identifies a second kind of routing protocol, which is
- 17 different from the first kind of routing protocol
- 18 identified, from a group of routing protocols consisting
- 19 of (A) Border Gateway Protocol (BGP), (B) Intermediate
- 20 system to intermediate system (IS-IS), (C) Open 3hortest
- 21 Path First Version 2 (OSPF v2), (D) Open Shortest Path
- 22 First -Version 3 (OSPF v3), (E) Routing Information
- 23 Protocol Version 1/Version 2 (RIP v1/v2), (F) Routing
- 24 Information Protocol next generation (RIP-ng), (G)
- 25 Protocol-Independent Multicast (PIM), (H) Distance Vector
- 26 Multicast Routing Protocol (DVMRP), (I) Label
- 27 Distribution Protocol (LDP), (J) Resource Reservation
- 28 Protocol (RSVP) and (K) Link Management Protocol (LMP).